

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,030	11/07/2001	Zindel Herbert Heller	5727-68532	1647	
7	590 09/21/2004	EXAMINER			
BARNES & THORNBURG 11 South Meridian Street			WALLENHORST, MAUREEN		
Indianapolis, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					///		
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	9		
		10/046	5,030	HELLER, ZINDEL	HERBERT		
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			en M. Wallenhorst	1743			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress		
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common serior of the properties of the provision of the properties of the p	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. io) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	<i>y.</i> mmunication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>21 June 200</i> 4	1 .				
2a)[2b)⊠ This action is	_				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 59-156 is/are pending in th	e application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from	consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>59-110,115,116,121,122,13</u>		-				
_	Claim(s) <u>111-114,117-120,123-126</u>	·	•				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		•				
	Applicant may not request that any object			• •			
44\□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim in All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the pri	documents have be	een received. een received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docur	nents have been receive	d in this National S	Stage		
• •	application from the Internation	•	` ''				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment	(s)						
) 🛛 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te´. atent Application (PTO-	152\		
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/28/02 & 10/8/03</u> .	- 10/36/00)	6) Other:	пент друнсацоп (РТО-	102)		

Application/Control Number: 10/046,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the response received on June 21, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 59-60, 65-66, 71-72, 77-78, 83-85, 90-91, 96-97, 102-103, 108-110, 115-116, 121-122, 127-128, 133-134, 139-140 and 145-146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the determination of glucose as the first component in a blood sample in the presence of hematocrit as a second component, does not reasonably provide enablement for the determination of any and all analytes found in any biological fluid. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification does not provide evidence that the concentration of any and all components (i.e. bilirubin, albumin, etc.) in any biological sample (i.e. saliva, spinal fluid, etc) is affected by the presence or concentration of a second component, and that the concentrations of all components in a biological fluid sample can be determined by the functions i₁(t) and i₂(t) as defined in the claims. The specification only provides evidence that glucose and hematocrit in a blood sample can be determined by these functions.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,030

Art Unit: 1743

4. Claims 84-108 and 133-156 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On lines 7 and 11 of claim 84, the terms "performing" and "removing" are indefinite since these are method steps, and claim 84 recites an apparatus. These terms on lines 7 and 11 should be changed to the phrases "a device for performing" and "a device for removing". See this same problem on lines 10 and 14 of claim 133.

Dependent claims 85-89 and 91-107 are indefinite since these claims do not further limit the structural components of the apparatus recited in claim 84. Rather, these claims only recite functional/method limitations. See this same problem in claims 134-138 and 140-156.

Claim 151 should depend from claim 133 instead of claim 135. Otherwise, claim 135 ends up being redundant with claim 153.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 59-82 and 84-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iketaki et al (WO 99/60391, English language translation is US patent no. 6,576,117).

Iketaki et al teach of a method for electrochemically measuring the concentration of a first medically significant analyte in a sample in the presence of a second analyte, which affects the determination of the first analyte. Iketaki et al teach that the first analyte is glucose in a blood sample whose concentration is affected by the hematocrit value of the blood sample that

Application/Control Number: 10/046,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

causes errors in the measurement result of glucose. See lines 13-21 in column 2 of Iketaki et al. Iketaki et al teach that a biosensor is used to perform the method by applying a predetermined voltage to the biosensor twice to promote an electrochemical reaction. Two measurements of current are made and a statistical technique is used with the measurements to compensate for the errors in the glucose concentration made by the hematocrit. In a first measurement, a voltage is applied to a glucose sensor at a time=0 for a period of seven seconds for a first excitation. The current is measured at every 0.1 seconds so that the first measurement has the form of a timevarying function I(t). The difference in the current profile during the first excitation varies with only the concentration and presence of the physical properties of the blood sample such as hematocrit. In a second measurement, a subsequent five-second application of voltage is applied to the glucose sensor in order to detect a difference in the current profile caused by the combined affects of the concentration of glucose in the blood sample and the hematocrit of the sample. The measured current in the second measurement is then corrected for the affects of hematocrit by a series of statistical calculations. See lines 21-67 in column 10 and lines 1-50 in column 11 of Iketaki et al. The corrected current value I'(5) is then converted into a glucose concentration value by using a calibration curve table.

7. Claims 109 and 133 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, set forth in this Office action since none of the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests a method for determining the concentration of a first medically significant component (i.e. glucose) in a biological sample (i.e. blood) in the presence of a second component (i.e. hematocrit) that affects the determination of the first component by performing a first measurement of a time-varying function i1(t) of a

Application/Control Number: 10/046,030 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

biological fluid, wherein i1(t) varies with both the concentration of the first component and the presence or concentration of the second component and has the formula as outlined in claims 109 and 133, performing a second measurement on the biological fluid which varies with only the presence or concentration of the second component, and removing the amount representative of the second component from the concentration of the first component indicated by the first measurement.

- 8. Claims 83, 108, 110, 115-116, 121-122, 127-128 and 134-156 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims for the same reasons as given above.
- 9. Claims 111-114, 117-120, 123-126 and 129-132 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims for the same reasons as given above.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Please make note of: Pottgen et al and Han who teach of electrochemical methods for determining analytes such as glucose in biological fluids.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,030

Art Unit: 1743

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maureen M. Wallenhorst whose telephone number is 571-272-

1266. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday from 6:30 AM to 4:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden, can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maureen M. Wallenhorst Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1743

mmw

September 20, 2004

Maureen M. Wallenhorst PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1999 1700